threat and coercion as claimed by the I Party and he is justified in demanding reinstatement and all consequential benefits and this point is answered accordingly.

Point No. 2 :- Reinstatement of a workman wrongfully dismissed is the ordinary relief. The long break in service or change of the Management are not the grounds that militate against giving that benefit. The I Party claims that he is not in any employment eversince the termination of his past service. Hence, I hold that the I Party is entitled to be reinstated in the same grade in the same post with continuity of service and back wages as from 4th December 1975 when he was relieved of his post.

5. Award passed accordingly. No Cost.

Typed to my dictation, then corrected, signed and pronounced by me in Open Court. this the 7th day of July, 1979.

Sd-/ .....
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mangalore.

Mangalore,
Dated :—7th July 1979.

Order No. SWL 227 LLA 79
Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 885 LLD 78, dated 8th November 1978 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Bola Vyavasaya Seva Sahakari Sangha Niyamitha, Bola, Karkala Taluk, Dakshina Kannada, was referred to the Labour Court, Mangalore for adjudication ;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and In the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour A).

1682—S.O. 2: 92

Order No. SWL 537 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 16th August 1979.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Mangalore Port Trust, Mangalore on the points noted below;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

1. "Is the management justified in dismissing Sri N. Bhojakumar, Seaman with effect from 3rd June 1978 ?
2. If not, to what relief the said Sri N. Bhojakumar is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A Section.)

1744—S. O. 2093

Order No. SWL 546 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 17th August 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation at Gulbarga Branch, Gulbarga on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute.

I. "Is the management justified in not confirming the services of the following 7 workers :

1.	Sriyuths	A. S. Mulgi,	Depot Clerk.
2.	,,	Abdul Hameed	Workman
3.	,,	Syed Ameeruddin	do
4.	,,	Chotnmiyan	do
5.	,,	M. Rukmuddin	do
6.	,,	Ramalulingappa	do
7.	,,	Hanumantappa Dhatargi	Watchman